Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/759,337	TIDMARSH, GEORGE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Raymond J. Henley III.	(3)		
(2) R. Ted Apple.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 June 2005	Time: <u>AM (E.D.T.)</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 9, 11-13 and 21-23			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization given for Examiner's amendment, i.e., to provide basis for the "daily dose" language of claims 11-13 and 21-23			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
,			
PM/45			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	